





Blood 142 (2023) 3410-3412

The 65th ASH Annual Meeting Abstracts

POSTER ABSTRACTS

654.MGUS, AMYLOIDOSIS AND OTHER NON-MYELOMA PLASMA CELL DYSCRASIAS: CLINICAL AND **EPIDEMIOLOGICAL**

Factors Underlying Impaired Organ Recovery Despite a Deep Hematologic Response in Patients with Systemic AL

Andrew Staron, MD^{1,2}, Lisa M. Mendelson, NP², Tracy Joshi, DNP², Vaishali Sanchorawala, MD^{1,2}

Background:

Amyloid-mediated organ dysfunction is the main determinant of survival for patients with light chain (AL) amyloidosis. Reducing the production of amyloidogenic light chains with plasma cell-directed therapy is a prerequisite for organ response. Despite achieving a hematologic complete response (hemCR), some patients have enduring organ dysfunction. A better grasp of the mechanisms underlying organ recovery is needed, especially as attention moves to novel therapeutics that trigger removal of existing amyloid deposits, and as graded organ response criteria to gauge improvement more precisely than traditional binary systems are adopted.

Aims:

We designed this study to identify the (1) rates of organ non-response and (2) possible reasons for impaired organ recovery in patients with AL amyloidosis with hemCR after treatment.

Methods:

Patients with biopsy confirmed AL amyloidosis who presented to our center for post-treatment assessment between February 2019 and June 2023 were included if they had major organ involvement (heart, kidney and/or liver) and were evaluable for organ response (Palladini, 2012). Graded cardiac response was assessed by validated criteria (Muchtar, 2022). We excluded patients who received amyloid fibril-targeted antibody therapies. To eliminate the possibility of insufficient hematologic response as a confounder for organ non-response, we focused only on patients with hemCR. Organ response was measured as best response >3 months from hemCR achievement. Reasons for organ non-response were postulated by our multidisciplinary team after extensive workup and review of clinical data.

Results:

Among 143 patients with hemCR, the median age at diagnosis was 58 years (range 30-78); 94 (66%) were male; and 24 (17%) identified as non-white race. Kidney, heart and liver involvement was present in 117 (82%), 68 (48%) and 17 (12%) patients, respectively; 54 (38%) had ≥2 major organs affected. Final treatment granting hemCR was high-dose melphalan and stem cell transplantation for 49 (34%); bortezomib-based for 37 (26%); daratumumab monotherapy for 31 (22%); daratumumab-CyBorD for 18 (13%); and an immunomodulatory drug for 8 (6%) patients; 58 (41%) required \geq 2 lines of therapy to achieve hemCR. Failure to attain organ response was observed in 40/117 (34%), 19/68 (28%) and 3/17 (18%) of patients with kidney, heart and liver involvement, respectively. Of 42 patients with both kidney and heart involvement, 21 (50%) had concordant responses of both organs; 6 (14%) had concordant non-responses; and 15 (36%) had discordant responses. Among 49 heart responders, 14 (29%) attained CarCR (nadir BNP ≤80 pg/mL); 16 (33%) CarVGPR (>60% reduction); and 19 (39%) CarPR (31-60% reduction); 40/77 (52%) kidney responders attained proteinuria <500 mg/24h.

Clinical features of organ non-responders in relation to responders are reported in Table 1. Notably, kidney non-responders had more advanced disease at baseline, whereas heart non-responders more often had lambda-typic amyloidogenic light chains. Among kidney non-responders, 2 had stable and another 2 had rising proteinuria level; the remaining 36 had improved proteinuria but were categorized as non-response due to >25% worsening of eGFR. Among heart non-responders, 5 had stable elevation of BNP and 14 experienced organ progression (BNP increase >30% and >50 pg/mL); 2 required heart transplantation.

¹ Section of Hematology and Medical Oncology, Department of Medicine, Boston University Chobanian & Avedisian School of Medicine and Boston Medical Center, Boston, MA

² Amyloidosis Center, Boston University Chobanian & Avedisian School of Medicine and Boston Medical Center, Boston, MA

POSTER ABSTRACTS Session 654

The two most common factors believed to underlie kidney non-response were permanent kidney damage at diagnosis needing dialysis and treatment-related toxicity (Table 2). For 10 (25%) kidney non-responders, there was no apparent explanation, 7 of whom had detectable measurable residual disease (MRD) by multiparametric flow cytometry. Most cases of heart nonresponse had no apparent explanation; 6 of these 8 patients had detectable MRD.

Conclusions:

Despite effective treatment of the plasma cell clone, a considerable number of patients with AL amyloidosis in hemCR have enduring organ dysfunction. We found that the reasons for organ non-response differed across organ systems. Response was not always concordant for all involved organs, suggesting heterogeneity in organ biology in terms of mechanisms for amyloid-mediated injury and intrinsic ability to repair. Careful scrutiny of reasons for organ non-response is warranted before providing further hematologic treatments, which may be ineffective for organ recovery.

Disclosures Sanchorawala: Janssen, Alexion, Prothena, Celgene, Takeda, Abbvie, Regeneron, Pfizer, AstraZeneca: Consultancy, Honoraria, Membership on an entity's Board of Directors or advisory committees, Research Funding.

OffLabel Disclosure: Some patients included in this analysis were treated with off-label use of high-dose melphalan and stem cell transplantation; bortezomib; and/or an immunomodulatory drug for AL amyloidosis.

POSTER ABSTRACTS Session 654

among 143 patients with AL amyloi Kidney (N = 117)	Non-responders ¹	Responders ²	Develop
	(n = 40)	n = 77)	P value
Median age, years (range)	60 (30–75)	58 (32–78)	0.698
Male, n (%)	35 (87)	45 (58)	0.001
Non-white race, n (%)	8 (20)	14 (18)	0.811
λ light chain type, n (%)	29 (73)	63 (82)	0.243
Median dFLC, mg/L (range)	72 (3–759)	87 (4-3,120)	0.153
≥2 major organs involved, n (%)	19 (48)	35 (45)	0.833
Proteinuria, mg/24h (range)	9,991 (612-32,139)	7,432 (660-24,559)	0.020
eGFR by CKD-EPI, ml/min/1.73 m ² (range)	49 (6–111)	80 (14-128)	< 0.001
>1 line of therapy for hemCR, n (%)	19 (48)	17 (22)	0.005
Ever received HDM/SCT, n (%)	23 (58)	45 (58)	0.922
Detectable MRD, n (%)	25 (63)	36 (47)	0.106
Hoort (N = CO)	Non-responders	Responders ³	P value
Heart (N = 68)	(n = 19)	(n = 49)	
Median age, years (range)	62 (46–76)	58 (32–76)	0.194
Male, n (%)	15 (79)	31 (63)	0215
Non-white race, n (%)	4 (21)	8 (16)	0.646
λ light chain type, n (%)	19 (100)	37 (76)	0.015
Median dFLC, mg/L (range)	81 (6–365)	153 (4-1,814)	0.246
≥2 major organs involved, n (%)	13 (68)	29 (59)	0.482
B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP), pg/mL (range)	257 (80-1,792)	403 (93-8,131)	0.235
Troponin I, ng/mL (range)	0.073 (0.009-0.442)	0.088 (0.006-1.581)	0.494
Interventricular septum, mm (range)	14 (8–17)	12 (8–21)	0.384
Left ventricular ejection fraction, % (range)	58 (39–67)	60 (30–76)	0.472
4 " 4 " 4 " 0 " (0)	9 (47)	25 (51)	0.787
>1 line of therapy for hemCR, n (%)	9 (41)	20 (01)	0.101
>1 line of therapy for hemCR, n (%) Ever received HDM/SCT, n (%)	8 (42)	25 (51)	0.509

¹For the kidney and heart, non-response defined as ≤30% reduction in organ parameter ²Kidney response defined as proteinuria decrease >30% compared to baseline (or reaching <500 mg/24h) without >25% reduction in eGFR ³Heart response defined as BNP decrease >30% and >50 pg/mL compared to baseline Abbreviations: dFLC, difference in involved and uninvolved free light chains; HDM/SCT, high-dose melphalan and stem cell transplantation; MRD, measurable residual disease by multiparametric flow cytometry at a detection level of 10⁻⁵

Table 2: Possible factors contributing to organ non-response based on our review of clinical data					
Kidney non-response (N = 40)	n (%)	Heart non-response (N = 19)	n (%)		
Permanent organ damage at diagnosis requiring renal replacement therapy or kidney transplantation	11 (28)	Continual or progressive biomarker elevation without alternative explanation	8 (42)		
 Plasma cell treatment-related toxicity (e.g., direct effect, hypotension/sepsis, etc.) 	10 (25)	Biomarker elevation due to persistent cardiac arrhythmia	4 (21) 1		
Continual or progressive proteinuria/renal impairment without alternative explanation	10 (25)	Reduced biomarker clearance due to progressive kidney disease	3 (16)		
Kidney injury from non-treatment-related infection/sepsis	3 (8)	Advanced organ damage at diagnosis requiring heart transplantation	2 (11)		
Hypertensive nephropathy	2 (5)	Glucocorticoid-induced fluid retention	1 (5)		
Obstructive nephropathy	1 (3)	latrogenic volume from total parenteral nutrition	1 (5)		
Tacrolimus-induced nephrotoxicity	1 (3)				
Lithium-induced nephrotoxicity	1 (3)				

¹one patient also received therapy with an immunomodulatory drug, which can induce a paradoxical increase in BNP level

Figure 1

https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2023-189956